#### Rainbow Tables: Past, Present, and Future

James Nobis (quel)

http://www.freerainbowtables.com

DFW Security Professionals, 2011

### Overview

- Personal bio
- Ethics
- Export law
- Applications summary
- Background information
- History from 1978 to present
- Technical and theory 1978 ?
- Applications and details

### Personal bio

- What is freerainbowtables.com?
- How much money do you make?
- Who is this guy?
  - Official day job title: Senior System Administrator / Developer
  - \*nix Linux, OpenBSD, NetBSD
  - coder in several languages
  - amateur crypto hobbyist
  - BS Computer Science
  - not in the sec industry

#### Ethics

- Is this legal?
  - Yes!
- You are making money helping crack passwords!
- Why are you helping the bad guys?
- If it can be done, should it done?
  - equip itsec community with tools
  - educate people to salt hashes er or hash at all
  - basic theory is well established in published work
  - basic implementation source was public before us

### Export law

- Failure to comply is effective equivalent to espionage
- Obama changed the rules
  - public publication 2010-06-25
  - rules went effective 2010-08-24
  - open source is no longer exempt
  - closed source even freeware almost always requires a full BIS review
- 740.17 (b)(1) doesn't apply this is cryptanalytic
- Key size doesn't matter except in 1 case!
- 740.17 (b)(2) software covered
  - source code
  - modifiable crypto
    - only for effective key size greater 56bits close source
    - only for effective key size greater 64bits open source
  - penetration testing
  - cryptanalytic

- Block cipher keys
  - DES
  - rc4 used by Microsoft Office see Elcomsoft
    - Don't use 40-bit keys for 128-bit RC4
- Password hashes
  - Salt your hashes!
  - Security auditing
    - Password policy enforcement
    - migrating unsalted hashes to SSHA
    - Windows hashes aren't going anywhere

### Background information

- Keyspace
- Brute force
- Types of cryptographic attack
- Hashes

### Keyspace Ciphers

keySpace =  $2^{keyLength}$ DES 56bit =  $2^{56}$ RC4 128bit =  $2^{128}$ 

$$keySpace = \sum_{i=minPassLen}^{maxPassLen} charSetLen^{i}{}_{[1]}$$

$$=\frac{charSetLen^{maxPassLen+1} - charSetLen^{minPassLen}}{charSetLen - 1}$$

$$\mathsf{loweralpha} = [a - z]$$
  
 $\mathsf{charSetLen} = 26$   
 $\mathsf{maxPassLen} = 10$ 

$$\frac{26^{10+1}-10^1}{26-1} = \frac{26^{11}-10}{25} \approx 146813779479510 \approx 2^{47.061}$$

### Brute force

- OpenSSL 0.9.8p
  - i686 linux on a dual core Intel T2080 @1.73Ghz
    - 16 size blocks: 3005871 md5's in 3.01s
    - 16 size blocks: 6840081 des cbc's in 3.00s
    - 16 size blocks: 6307485 des-ecb's in 3.00s
  - x86\_64 linux on an AMD Phenom II X6 1090T 3.2-3.6Ghz
    - 16 size blocks: 8331538 md5's in 3.01s
    - 16 size blocks: 13213076 des cbc's in 2.99s
    - 16 size blocks: 13100170 des-ecb's in 3.00s

```
\frac{2^{47.061}}{8331538} / 3.01 \approx 68 \ {\rm days} \frac{2^{56}}{13100170} / 3.00 / \approx 21221 \ {\rm days} \ \approx \ 58 \ {\rm years}
```

```
2^{47.061}*16\approx 2136 \text{ TiB} \\ 2^{56}*7 \text{ bytes} = 524288 \text{ TiB}
```

## Types of cryptographic attack

- Ciphertext-only (COA)
  - no extra knowledge
- Known-plaintext (KPA)
  - knowledge of some plaintexts and their ciphertexs
- Chosen-plaintext (CPA)
  - plaintext may be selected
- Chosen-ciphertext (CCA)
  - plaintext or ciphertext may be select



- Initial Permutation
- 16 rounds
- Final Permutation
- Modes
  - Electronic CodeBook (ECB)
    - encrypt each block by itself
  - Cipher-block chaining (CBC)
    - Initialization Vector (IV)
    - each block of plaintext XOR with previous ciphertext block

#### Hashes

#### • MD4

- 3 rounds
- 128-bit output 16 bytes
- MD5
  - 4 rounds
  - 128-bit output 16 bytes
- SHA1
  - 80 rounds
  - 160-bit output 20 bytes

### History from 1978 to present

Probabilistic forms of time and memory tradeoffs

- Hellman tables
- Rainbow tables

### Hellman tables

- "A Cryptanalytic Time Memory Trade-Off" [2]
  - by Martin Hellman
  - published 1980
- pre-computation N work
- Chosen Plaintext Attack (CPA)
- ECB allows also Ciphertext Only Attack (COA)
- Reduction function
- memory costs more than cpu time
- fixed length chains
- 128-bit keys are the minimum for any data

### **Rainbow Tables**

- Philippe Oechslin 2003 [5]
- Fixed length chains
- less memory and time than previous methods
- chains may collide without merging
- higher success rates possible with less memory
- few tables
- reduction function per column

### Technical and theory from 1978 - ?

- Hellman tables
- Rainbow tables

### Hellman Tables Technical 1

- Starting Points (SPs) chosen randomly
- End Points (EPs)
- Generate chains
- Discard all intermediate points
- Sort on EP
- Reduction function
  - Hellman suggests dropping the last 8 bits of ciphertext
  - 64-bit to 56-bit
- lookups
  - cpu N ^ (2/3)
  - memory N ^ (2/3)

#### Hellman Tables Technical 2

If f(\*) is a random function mapping the set 1, 2, ..., N onto itself, and the key K is chosen uniformly from the same set, the probability of success is bounded by

$$P(S) \ge (1 / N) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} [(N - it)/N]^{j+1}$$

brute force point:  $mt^2 = N$ 

m = numChains t = chainLength N = keySpace

### Hellman Tables Technical 3

• For N  $\hat{}$  (2/3) time complexity use:

• m = t = N ^ (1/3)

- $\bullet~P(S)$  is approximately N  $\ \hat{}~(-1/3)$  for a single table
- Generate N  $\hat{}~(1/3)$  tables or more
  - (2<sup>56</sup>)<sup>(1/3)</sup> is a min 416127 tables
- False Alarm
  - a given ith element of a chain with multiple inverses
  - Expected false alarms

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^t j/N \ &= mt(t+1)/2N \ &\leq 50\% ext{ total cryptanalytic attack} \end{aligned}$$

Original Hellman Formula as revised by Oechslin

$$P_{table} \ge rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (1 - rac{it}{N})^{j+1}$$

$$P_{success} \geq 1 - \left(1 - rac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^m\sum_{j=0}^{t-1}(1 - rac{it}{N})^{j+1}
ight)^\ell$$

Diminishing returns on the success rate for more tables Cost of time and memory rapidly grows reduction function 1 to t - 1, where t is chain length [5] Does this all look and sound familiar? It should

- rainbow chains may collide without merging
- if the collision is at the same point in the chains it's a merge
- chance that 2 specific colliding chains will merge

$$P_{collision\ merges} = rac{1}{t}$$

- The chance of a merge for the entire table is given as a consequence of perfect tables and explained later
- False alarms may be up 125% of the cryptanalytic attack [6]

Oechslin gives us the success rate of this new approach for m chains of length t

$$P_{table} = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{t} (1 - \frac{m_i}{N})$$

where  $m_1 = m$ 

$$m_{n+1} = N(1 - e^{-\frac{m_n}{N}})$$

He refers in [5] and [6] as this being exact but it is not This success rate probability is an average case The lower bound is the original Hellman table success rate

For your sanity and mine lets rewrite the formulas [1] success depends on predicting the expected unique chains [5] success rate of a single table

$$euc(1) = chainCount$$
  
 $euc(i) = keySpace(1 - e^{-\frac{euc(i-1)}{keySpace}})$ 

$$\begin{split} \text{tableSuccessRate} &\approx 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{chainLength} (1 - \frac{euc(i)}{keySpace}) \\ \text{totalSuccessRate} &\approx 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{numTables} 1 - tableSuccessRate_i \\ &\approx 1 - (1 - tableSuccessRate)^{numTables} \end{split}$$

- Perfect Tables
  - general definition of perfect
    - no duplicate chains
    - no merging chains
- differences of perfect
  - some do not generate replacement chains
  - some require specific selection which merging chain is kept
    - pick the chain that merges where i is closest to chainLen
- Free Rainbow Tables definition
  - all general requirements
  - replacement chains generated for all discarded
  - no selection of the merging chain to discard
    - not ideal but has little to no impact on success rate
    - increases false alarm chain walks
    - ideal selection may create more costly false alarms

- Perfect Tables 2
  - A single perfect table is too expensive to generate
    - Oechslin gives us Nt (keyspace \* chainLen) [5]

$$\begin{split} 2^{47} &= 140737488355328\\ 2^{47} * 40000 &= 5629499534213120000\\ &\approx 2^{62.2877} \end{split}$$

- For a given keyspace multiple perfect tables
  - lower success rate for each one
  - Oechslin estimates max success rate of a table as 86% [6]
- If we assume 86% table success rate

$$\begin{split} 1-((1-0.86)*(1-0.86)) &= 98.04\% \\ 1-((1-0.86)*(1-0.86)*(1-0.86)) &= 99.7256\% \\ 1-((1-0.86)*(1-0.86)*(1-0.86)) &= 99.961584\% \end{split}$$

### Applications and details

- Overview of some well known implementations
- Overview of some of the file formats
- Detailed focus on Free Rainbow Tables

### Rainbow Table Implementations

- Ophcrack 1.0a by Philippe Oechslin
  - 2004-07
  - 2005-03-31 Ophcrack 2.0
  - 2009-07-29 Ophcrack 3.3.1
- RainbowCrack 1.0 by Zhu Shuanglei
  - 2003-09-09
  - 2003-11-21 RainbowCrack 1.2 last release with source
- Winrtgen as part of Cain & Abel
- Free Rainbow Tables
  - 2006-12-06 first DistRTgen release
  - 2007-01-17 first linux release
  - 2007-12-02 Perfect table generation

#### File formats

- .rt 2003
  - fixed 8 byte SPs and 8 byte EPs per chain
- Ophcrack 2004
  - fixed 4 byte sequential SPs and 2 byte EPs
  - prefix index 4 bytes per [9]
  - prefix method first noted [6]
- .rti RT Improved 2008
  - prefix index
  - 8 bytes per chain
  - 11 bytes per index
- .rtc RT Compact 2009 August
- .rti2 RT Improved v2 2009 June
- .rti2 with headers I have code to finish

### Free Rainbow Tables

- Generation
- BOINC
  - Work Unit (WU) assignment
  - WU computation
  - WU upload
  - WU validation
  - WU assimilation
  - Table perfecting
  - Table completion
- Using the tables
- Distribution

### Generation 1

- Picking a table set
  - user feedback and needs
  - algorithm or code change testing
  - regenerating non-sequential table sets for optimal packing
  - rivalries
    - project-rainbowcrack.com has this set at 96% success that's awful, 99.9% lets go

- Generation Parameters
  - A day of idle machines is better than generating poor tables
  - totalSuccessRate = 99.9%
  - pick chainLen
    - balance generation time and cryptanalysis time
    - balance total disk use
    - 40,000 has worked well for CPU only generate/crack
    - 20,000 at double the numChains for the first table for speed
  - pick number of tables
    - for the totalSuccessRate 4 is optimal
    - The 86% success per table is optimistic from Oechslin [6]
    - even at 86% success 3 tables at best yields 99.725%
  - pick character set
  - pick passLen
  - calculate ExpectedUniqueChains
  - pick algorithm minor impact on stepSpeed

totalSuccessRate = 99.9%chainLen = 40,000charSet = loweralpha[a - z]charSetLen = 26minPwl en = 1maxPwl en = 10*keySpace* = 146813779479510 chainCount = 46417863961euc = 6338323552 = 2 euc = 6338500000totaleuc = 25354000000 $expectedTableSuccessRate = 1 - (1 - \frac{6338500000}{146813779479510})^{40000}$  $\approx 82.218060806682030987\%$ 

 $expectedTableSuccessRate \approx 82.21806080668203\%$ expected TableSuccessRate  $*2 \approx 96.83802638525142\%$ expected TableSuccessRate  $*3 \approx 99.43773977451665\%$  $totalTableSuccessRate \approx 99.90001922859634\%$ md5\_loweralpha#1-10\_0 actualSuccessRate  $\approx$  82.42099823126382% md5\_loweralpha#1-10\_1 actualSuccessRate  $\approx$  82.49167591074124% md5\_loweralpha#1-10\_2 actualSuccessRate  $\approx$  82.51509187185517% md5\_loweralpha#1-10\_3 actualSuccessRate  $\gtrsim$  82.40308898901675% md5\_loweralpha#1-10\_[01] actualSuccessRate  $\approx$  96.92221139867314% md5\_loweralpha#1-10\_[012] actualSuccessRate  $\approx$  99.46185149067949% md5\_loweralpha#1-10 actualSuccessRate  $\geq$  99.90530248570794%

# BOINC 1

- Work Unit (WU) assignment
  - parameters including start point ranges
  - $\bullet~500000$  chains per WU for latest MD5 run
  - md5 loweralpha 1 10 2 40000 500000 27981500000
     35100,35400,35700,36000,36300,36600,36900,37200,37500,37800
     ,38100,38400,38700,39000,39300,39600
- WU computation
  - generate a chain for every start point
  - sequential start points
  - CPU
    - 2-3 hours for 1 WU on a single core (MD5)
  - GPU
    - 105 seconds GTX 470 x86\_64 linux (MD5)
    - about 120 seconds on windows
    - yes it's the same code and no I'm not in charge of windows builds

# BOINC 22

- WU upload
  - completed WU 9,000,000 bytes = (8\*2+2) \* 500000
    - traditional rt 8 bytes for SP and 8 for EP
    - 2 bytes for checkpoints
  - GPUs are fast
  - 5,000,000 bytes is better than 9,000,000
    - sequential SPs let the server add the SPs and sort
  - my upstream is awful (384kbps)
    - 109 seconds per WU
- WU validation
  - regeneration of some chains

# BOINC 3

- WU assimilation
  - combine WUs into parts
- Table perfecting
  - adding parts into the table in progress
  - sort on end points
  - no duplicates to remove with sequential SPs
  - remove merges (identical EPs)
    - generate replacement chains
- Table completion
  - convert to rti/rti2 format
  - upload to mirror seed
  - seed upload to primary mirror

[quel@paranoia ] cat hashes.txt 2c678f2e67902fb8294e15f6d44cc3e1 b172647b25385aef84620de9b5d194ad

[quel@paranoia ] time ./rcracki\_mt -t 3 -l hashes.txt -o results.txt /mnt/rainbow\_tables/freerainbowtables/md5/md5\_loweralpha#1-10\_?

Using 3 threads for pre-calculation and false alarm checking... Found 194 rainbowtable files...

md5\_loweralpha#1-10\_0\_40000x5284976\_distrrtgen[p][i]\_95.rti: reading index... 19221191 bytes read, disk access time: 0.01 s reading table... 42279808 bytes read, disk access time: 0.02 s verifying the file... ok searching for 2 hashes... Pre-calculating hash 1 of 2.

### Using the tables 2

md5\_loweralpha#1-10\_1\_40000x67108864\_distrrtgen[p][i]\_04.rti: reading index... 243700963 bytes read, disk access time: 1.61 s reading table... 536870912 bytes read, disk access time: 3.49 s verifying the file... ok

searching for 2 hashes...

plaintext of 2c678f2e67902fb8294e15f6d44cc3e1 is kpcjdbsdr cryptanalysis time: 0.87 s

md5\_loweralpha#1-10\_1\_40000x67108864\_distrrtgen[p][i]\_17.rti: reading index... 243706705 bytes read, disk access time: 1.64 s reading table... 536870912 bytes read, disk access time: 3.31 s verifying the file... ok searching for 1 hash...

plaintext of b172647b25385aef84620de9b5d194ad is lytoyswacd cryptanalysis time:  $0.45~\mbox{s}$ 

### Using the tables 3

plaintext found: total disk access time: total cryptanalysis time: total pre-calculation time: total chain walk step: total false alarm: total chain walk step due to false alarm: 2 of 2 (100.00%) 602.98 s 91.45 s 356.57 s 3199760004 55469 814610518

# Using the Tables 4

- 2c678f2e67902fb8294e15f6d44cc3e1
- kpcjdbsdr
- hex:6b70636a6462736472
- b172647b25385aef84620de9b5d194ad
- lytoyswacd
- hex:6c79746f797377616364
- real 18m9.844s
- user 22m12.171s
- sys 1m2.880s

#### Distribution

- A different trade off
  - fast
  - reliable
  - lots of space
  - cheap
- seed source
- mirrors
- torrents

# Hybrids

- hybrid2
  - each charset is a sub-keyspace
  - code is complete and deployed for CPUs
  - code is nearly complete for GPUs
  - next up ntlm [A-Z][a-z]{5}[a-z0-9]{2}[0-9]{1,3}
    - yes that's length 9, 10, or 11!
    - at 99.9% success
  - give us feedback on what tablesets to do
- full sub-keyspace support
  - allows the table to be ordered for faster attacks
  - theory and sample code exist all on our forums
  - possibilities get fairly interesting

#### Future

- GPU for cryptanalytic attack side
- SSE2
- balacing CPU v GPU
- RTI2 with file headers we're nearly there
- convert completed WU to rti2 prior to upload?
- selecting best merge to discard
- new system architecture for better resiliency
- distribute verifications

### You can help!

- $\bullet$  x86/x86\_64 windows and linux users install BOINC
  - attach to the project
    - http://boinc.freerainbowtables.com/distrrtgen/
  - CUDA generation with current BOINC and video drivers
- spread the word
- donate
  - compute time
  - hosting
  - hardware
  - programming
  - testing
  - benchmarking
  - bug finding
  - bug fixing

# FRT Links

- http://www.freerainbowtables.com
- http://gitorious.org/freerainbowtables-applications
- http://rcracki.sourceforge.net
- http://www.tbhost.eu
- http://boinc.berkeley.edu
- http://boinc.freerainbowtables.com/distrrtgen

# Non-FRT Links

- http://www.cryptohaze.com
- http://www.project-rainbowcrack.com
- http://ophcrack.sourceforge.net
- http://www.iacr.org
- http://eprint.iacr.org/complete
- http://www.acm.org

#### Contact information

- James Nobis (quel)
- quel@freerainbowtables.com
- http://www.freerainbowtables.com
- GPG
  - pub 4096R/8B429E16 2010-02-05
  - 934B 3013 6826 BF6B BE93 750A 8081 124C 8B42 9E16
  - uid James Nobis ¡quel@freerainbowtables.com¿
  - sub 4096g/0312862A 2010-02-05
  - sub 4096R/A35ECB2E 2010-02-05
  - sub 4096R/F7C0F683 2010-11-25



See my Passwords  $\hat{}~$  10 page as LaTex and I ran out of time.

Bruce Schneier. Applied Cryptography: Protocols, Algorithms, and Source Code in C. 2nd ed. New York, USA : Wiley, 1996. Print. Niels Ferguson and Bruce Schneier. Practical Cryptography. 1st ed. New York: Wiley, 2003.Print. Niels Ferguson, Bruce Schneier, and Tadayoshi Kohno. Cryptography Engineering: Design Principles and Practical Applications. Indianapolis, IN: Wiley, 2010. Print.

# OpenSSL timing data collection

- openssl-0.9.8p.tar.gz
- ECB: ./openssl speed -evp des-ecb

#### OpenSSL timing data detailed

- OpenSSL 0.9.8p
  - i686 linux on a dual core Intel T2080 @1.73Ghz
    - 16 size blocks: 3005871 md5's in 3.01s
    - 16 size blocks: 6307485 des-ecb's in 3.00s
    - 256 size blocks: 418564 des-ecb's in 2.99s
    - 1024 size blocks: 104909 des-ecb's in 3.00s
    - 8192 size blocks: 13119 des-ecb's in 3.00s
  - x86\_64 linux on an AMD Phenom II X6 1090T @3.2Ghz -3.6Ghz
    - 16 size blocks: 8331538 md5's in 3.01s
    - 16 size blocks: 13100170 des-ecb's in 3.00s
    - 256 size blocks: 853165 des-ecb's in 3.00s
    - 1024 size blocks: 213891 des-ecb's in 3.00s
    - 8192 size blocks: 26740 des-ecb's in 3.00s

# Licensing

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.